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This report focuses on the foundation of USA Hockey's membership - the $8 \&$ under age category. Analysis of USA Hockey's membership data has shown that growth in this age category will positively impact the larger 9 to 14 age groups for many years.

During the last four seasons, concentrated growth efforts in the $8 \&$ under age category has produced positive results:

- The 8 \& under segment has seen a $20 \%$ increase in membership since the 2007-08 season
- In 2011-12, there was a record number of $8 \&$ under participants with over 107,000 registered members

This report examines the 8 \& under membership category, both at the National and Affiliate level for the past five seasons and past three seasons at the local association level. This is done to help all levels of USA Hockey's structure understand their past performance and create achievable growth goals for the 2012-13 season.

To continue to increase participation and membership, USA Hockey must remain focused on the following two key initiatives:

- Attracting new players each season in the crucial 8 \& under age category
- Retaining participants from one season to the next in local youth hockey programs

Putting growth initiatives into action requires a coordinated effort between USA Hockey national staff and youth hockey volunteers at the grassroots level. USA Hockey provides resources for local associations to use in both the acquisition and retention of $8 \&$ under players.

The 2 and 2 Challenge, explained in greater detail later in this report, is a comprehensive program utilizing existing national growth programs, resources and tools to help local youth hockey leaders achieve their 2 and 2 Challenge goals. Their goals are to add two additional new players and retain two more players, than the previous season's total.

Combining growth of the game at the youngest age levels with USA Hockey's American Development Model (ADM) will ensure the future health of the hockey in the U.S.

## HOW TO READ THE DATA CHARTS IN THIS REPORT



Number of players retained

## 2011-12 KEY TAKEAWAYS—NATIONAL

## Total

- The total number of children ages 8 \& under registered in 2011-12 increased by 1,993 (1.9\%) to 107,387 .
- The 2011-12 season represents the fourth consecutive year of growth in the $8 \&$ under age category and the all-time largest total of members in this age group.


## Acquisition

- For the first-time in four seasons, new players decreased from 48,254 in 2010-11 to 45,991; a decrease of 2,263 (-4.7\%).
- Even though the acquisition total decreased, it is the second-highest total for new players entering youth hockey ever.


## Retention

- Retained players increased by 4,256 (7.4\%) to 61,396 .
- The increase in retained players was the largest positive gain over the past four seasons.

| BOYS \& GIRLS_8U |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| TOTAL | 89423 | 91622 | 95775 | 105394 | 107387 |
| \% | $-0.6 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| NEW | 38013 | 39580 | 41967 | 48254 | 45991 |
| \% | $-2.4 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $-4.7 \%$ |
| RET | 51410 | 52042 | 53808 | 57140 | 61396 |
| \% | $0.8 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| LOST | 15899 | 15305 | 15184 | 15620 | 19229 |
| \% | $7.8 \%$ | $-3.7 \%$ | $-0.8 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $23.1 \%$ |


| BOYS_8U | $\mathbf{0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0 7 1 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 7 8 2}$ | 81978 | 89907 | 91199 |
| TOTAL | $-0.8 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| \% | 31733 | 33013 | 34819 | 40058 | 37875 |
| NEW | $-2.3 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $-5.4 \%$ |
| \% | 45395 | 45769 | 47159 | 49849 | 53324 |
| RET | $0.3 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| \% | 12672 | 12161 | 11932 | 12350 | 15149 |
| LOST | $6.7 \%$ | $-4.0 \%$ | $-1.9 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  |


| GIRLS 8U |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12295 | 12876 | 13798 | 15487 | 16188 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | $0.8 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% | 6285 | 6604 | 7149 | 8197 | 8116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEW | $-2.7 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ | $-1.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% | 6010 | 6272 | 6649 | 7290 | 8072 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RET | $4.7 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% | 3234 | 3145 | 3253 | 3272 | 4081 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOST | $12.5 \%$ | $-2.8 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 2011-12 KEY TAKEAWAYS—OREGON

## Total - Third consecutive season of growth

- The total number of children ages 8 \& under registered in North Dakota increased by 12 (+7.7\%) to 167.
- This was Oregon's highest total of participation in the past five seasons.


## Acquisition

- New players decreased by $8(-9.0 \%)$ to 81.
- The 2011-12 season marked the second-highest amount of new players entering the game for the past five seasons.


## Retention

- Retained players increased by 20 (+30.3\%) from 2010-11 to 86 .
- 86 players is the peak total for retained $8 \&$ under players in Oregon over the past five years.

| BOYS \& GIRLS_8 \& UNDER_SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| TOTAL | 106 | 103 | 119 | 155 | 167 |
| \% | $23.3 \%$ | $-2.8 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $30.3 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| NEW | 58 | 47 | 63 | 89 | 81 |
| \% | $20.8 \%$ | $-19.0 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ | $-9.0 \%$ |
| RETAINED | 48 | 56 | 56 | 66 | 86 |
| $\%$ | $26.3 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $30.3 \%$ |
| LOST | 9 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 24 |
| $\%$ | $-18.2 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $-13.3 \%$ | $-30.8 \%$ | $166.7 \%$ |


| BOYS_8 \& UNDER_SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| TOTAL | 99 | 101 | 114 | 143 | 154 |
| \% | $28.6 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| NEW | 52 | 46 | 60 | 80 | 72 |
| $\%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $-11.5 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $-10.0 \%$ |
| RETAINED | 47 | 55 | 54 | 63 | 82 |
| $\%$ | $38.2 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $-1.8 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ |
| LOST | 9 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 20 |
| $\%$ | $-18.2 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $-38.5 \%$ | $150.0 \%$ |


| GIRLS_8 \& UNDER_SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| TOTAL | 7 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 13 |
| $\%$ | $-22.2 \%$ | $-71.4 \%$ | $150.0 \%$ | $140.0 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| NEW | 6 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 9 |
| \% | $20.0 \%$ | $-83.3 \%$ | $200.0 \%$ | $200.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| RETAINED | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| $\%$ | $-75.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
| LOST | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| $\%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## RETENTION INCREASES WITH AGE

The older a player gets and/or the more seasons a player plays, the more likely that player will stick with youth hockey. So while retention rates are high from Squirts on up, the overall growth of hockey relies on acquiring more $8 \&$ under players.

Oregon's retention results for 2011-12 by age segment:

- 37.0\% of all 4 Year Olds returned as 5 Year Olds - National Average 37.4\%
- 51.4\% of all 5 Year Olds returned as 6 Year Olds - National Average 56.2\%
- 61.8\% of all 6 Year Olds returned as 7 Year Olds - National Average 68.9\%
- 60.0\% of all 7 Year Olds returned as 8 Year Olds - National Average 76.1\%
- 71.2\% of all Squirts returned in 2011-12 - National Average 84.7\%
- 78.0\% of all Pee Wees returned in 2011-12 - National Average 90.6\%
- 79.7\% of all Bantams returned in 2011-12 - National Average 93.9\%


## GRADUATION RATE OF 8 \& UNDERS

Thanks to the concentrated focus on growing the $8 \&$ under base level, local programs are seeing more players graduating onto the 9 to 14 age groups.

BOYS \& GIRLS GRADUATING FROM 8 TO 9

|  | $\mathbf{0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 8 - 0 9}$ | $09-10$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 1}$ | $11-12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8}$ TO 9 | 23314 | 23281 | 23108 | 23468 | 24618 |
| $\%$ | $-1.4 \%$ | $-0.1 \%$ | $-0.7 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |

## RATIO OF BOYS TO GIRLS-8 \& UNDERS

Boys represented $92.2 \%$ of all 8 \& unders in Oregon, while girls represented $7.8 \%$.

Nationally, boys represented $84.9 \%$ of all 8 \& unders, while girls represented $15.1 \%$.



## 2 AND 2 CHALLENGE

The 2 \& 2 Challenge is a comprehensive growth program for local associations. It is a blueprint that guides youth hockey leadership through national programs and tools to realize their growth goal of acquiring two additional players and retaining two more players than last season.

By participating in the $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ challenge, your program will achieve the following:

1. Drive participation in the $8 \&$ under age group to ensure continued growth as these players graduate to older age levels.
2. Establish itself as the best youth sports option in your community.

To complete the 2 and 2 Challenge, associations utilize three programs that drive growth - Retention, Acquisition \& Conversion.

## RETENTION—Welcome Back Week

Reaching out with a personal invitation to return to youth hockey is crucial. For this program, associations coordinate phone calls inviting families back to youth hockey.

## ACQUISITION—Try Hockey For Free Days

Try Hockey events provide associations the opportunity to attract new families to the rink. Last season over 17,000 kids were introduced to youth hockey through this program.


## CONVERSION—Transition Program

Children that sample youth hockey don't always become a new hockey player. A low-cost, low-commitment program to easily transition families from try hockey to youth hockey will significantly increase the likelihood of adding more new players.


By taking advantage of all three national programs, associations will be recognized as achieving the 2 and 2 Challenge and be rewarded with starter equipment and will receive national recognition. If programs choose, they can participate in additional national initiatives to achieve a higher status.

## To learn more and to accept the 2 and 2 Challenge, visit www.2and2Challenge.com or call (719) 538-1124.



## 2 AND 2 CHALLENGE GOALS

## ASSOCIATION

The 2 and 2 Challenge goals for every association are to add two additional new players and retain two additional players than the previous season's $8 \&$ under totals.

Below is an example of an association that achieved their 2 and 2 Challenge goals from this past season and their new goals for 2012-13:

| Previous three seasons results $\quad$ |  |  |  | __ 2 and 2 challenge results and goals |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOYS \& GIRLS_8 \& UNDER |  |  |  | 2 AND 2 CHALLENGE |  |  |  |
|  | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 | 11-12 GOAL | VS. GOAL | VS. ACTUAL | 12-13 GOAL |
| TOTAL | 70 | 85 | 94 | 89 | 5 | 9 | 98 |
| NEW | 30 | 38 | 44 | 40 | 4 | 6 | 46 |
| RETAINED | 40 | 47 | 50 | 49 | 1 | 3 | 52 |
| LOST | 13 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |

If the achieve their $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ Challenge Goals again in 2012-13, this program will enjoy a $\mathbf{4 . 3 \%}$ increase.
TOTAL: 46 new +52 retained $=98$ total players
NEW: $44+2=46$ new players
RETAINED: 50+2 = 52 retained players

## OREGON

| OREGON | 2011-12 <br> GOAL | 2011-12 <br> ACTUAL | 2012-13 <br> GOAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL | 167 | 167 | 179 |
| NEW | 95 | 81 | 87 |
| RETAINED | 72 | 86 | 92 |

Based on 3 associations that registered 5 players or more, the 2012-13 goals are:

- TOTAL: $167+12$ = 179 (+7.2\%)
- NEW: $81+6=87$ (+7.4\%)
- RETAINED: $86+6=92$ (+7.0\%)


## NATIONAL

| NATIONAL | $2011-12$ <br> GOAL | 2011-12 <br> ACTUAL | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ <br> GOAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL | 110,902 | 107,387 | 112,875 |
| NEW | 51,008 | 45,991 | 48,735 |
| RETAINED | 59,894 | 61,396 | 64,140 |

Based on 1,372 associations that registered 5 players or more, the 2012-13 goals are:

- TOTAL: $107,387+5,488=112,875(+5.1 \%)$
- NEW: $45,991+2,744=48,735(+6.0 \%)$
- RETAINED: $61,396+2,744=\mathbf{6 4 , 1 4 0}(\mathbf{+ 4 . 5 \%})$


## ASSOCIATIONS ACCOMPLISHING THEIR 2 AND 2 CHALLENGE GOALS

While all three associations had tremendous growth, unfortunately no one was able to successfully meet all components of the $2 \& 2$ Challenge Goals.

## OREGON'S 2011-12 ASSOCIATION PERFORMANCE

Association Net Growth

1. Lane Amateur Hockey Association ..... $+5$
2. Portland Junior Hawks ..... +5
3. Klamath Ice Sports, Inc ..... $+2$

ORH0009 KLAMATH ICE SPORTS, INC.

| BOYS \& GIRLS_8 \& UNDER |  |  | 2 AND 2 CHALLENGE |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 2}$ GOAL | VS. GOAL | VS. ACTUAL | 12-13 GOAL |
| TOTAL | 1 | 7 | 9 | 11 | $(2)$ | 2 | 13 |
| NEW | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | $(2)$ | 0 | 8 |
| RETAINED | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| LOST | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |


| BOYS_8 \& UNDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 2}$ | GIRLS_8 \& UNDER |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 1 | 5 | 8 | TOTAL | $\mathbf{0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 2}$ |
| NEW | 1 | 4 | 5 | NEW | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| RETAINED | 0 | 1 | 3 | RETAINED | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| LOST | 0 | 0 | 2 | LOST | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RATIO BOYS TO <br> GIRLS |  |  | $88.9 \%$ |  |  |  | 1 |

ORH0002 LANE AMATEUR HOCKEY ASSOCIATION

| BOYS \& GIRLS_8 \& UNDER |  |  |  | 2 AND 2 CHALLENGE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 | 11-12 GOAL | vs. GOAL | VS. ACTUAL | 12-13 GOAL |
| TOTAL | 27 | 47 | 52 | 51 | 1 | 5 | 56 |
| NEW | 17 | 31 | 26 | 33 | (7) | (5) | 28 |
| RETAINED | 10 | 16 | 26 | 18 | 8 | 10 | 28 |
| LOST | 1 | 3 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BOYS_8 \& UNDER |  |  |  | GIRLS_8 \& UNDER |  |  |  |
|  | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 |  | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 |
| total | 27 | 43 | 46 | TOTAL | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| NEW | 17 | 27 | 22 | NEW | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| RETAINED | 10 | 16 | 24 | RETAINED | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| LOST | 1 | 3 | 9 | LOST | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| RATIO BOYS TO GIRLS |  |  | 88.5\% |  |  |  | 11.5\% |

ORH0077 PORTLAND JUNIOR HAWKS

| BOYS \& GIRLS_8 \& UNDER |  |  |  | 2 AND 2 CHALLENGE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 | 11-12 GOAL | Vs. GOAL | Vs. ACTUAL | 12-13 GOAL |
| TOTAL | 72 | 90 | 95 | 94 | 1 | 5 | 99 |
| NEW | 36 | 50 | 45 | 52 | (7) | (5) | 47 |
| RETAINED | 36 | 40 | 50 | 42 | 8 | 10 | 52 |
| LOST | 9 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| BOYS 8 \& UNDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 |  | 09-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 |
| TOTAL | 68 | 84 | 90 | TOTAL | 4 | 6 | 5 |
| NEW | 33 | 46 | 42 | NEW | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| RETAINED | 35 | 38 | 48 | RETAINED | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| LOST | 9 | 2 | 6 | LOST | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| RATIO BOYS TO GIRLS |  |  | 94.7\% |  |  |  | 5.3\% |

