

UTAH AMATEUR HOCKEY ASSOCIATION Board Meeting Minutes



Meeting Date:	7 April 2025
Meeting Location:	Maverik Center Ice Arena Conference Room
Chaired By:	Chuck Dorval
Minutes By:	Don McFarland
Minutes Status:	Approved

Agenda Item	: 1	T	opic:	Ro	oll Call / Quorum Confirmation				
<u>Name</u>	Representi	ng	Prese	nt	<u>Name</u>	Representing	Present		
Executive Board Members									
Geoff Fisher	UAHA Presid	ent	Υ		Chuck Dorval	Exec. Vice President	Υ		
Adam Bailey	Treasurer		Zoor	n	Don McFarland	Secretary	Zoom		
Cody Madsen	VP Adult / Disc	ibled	Υ		Anna Erickson	VP High School	Υ		
Jacob Hickman	VP Youth		Υ		Bryce Mannek	VP Discipline	Υ		
Melissa Mossberg	VP Girls		Υ		Tim Odell	VP Tier	Υ		
Steve Picano	VP Growth)	Υ		Quorum A	Achieved (Requires X)?	Χ		
		l	Board A	Appo	ointed Staff				
Vacant	Webmaste	r	N/A	١	Mandi Berim	Safety Coordinator	Υ		
Wendy Radke	SafeSport Coord	inator	N		Robyn Eyre-Long	Utah Registrar	Ν		
Michael Strahan	CEP Rep / ADM	Coord.	N		Reid Jacobson	Goaltending Dev.	Zoom		
Tim Tate	Utah Referee in	Chief	N		Andy Van Wagoner	President WIHOA	Ν		
			Othe	r At	tendees:				
Kathleen Smith	RMD Direct	or	Y		Angela Steinbach	Utah Lady Grizz	Zoom		
Frank Monestere	Utah Lady G	rizz	Zoor	n	Jeff Kite	Jr Grizzlies	Zoom		
Nikki Jones	Wasatch Wild	/ PC	Zoor	n	Josh Anderson	Oval	Zoom		
John Howe	PC Ice Mine	ers	Zoor	n	Dave Monson	Parent	Zoom		
Gabriel Gauthier	Candidate	غ	Zoor	n	Jon Solomon	Ogden Jr. Mustangs	Zoom		
Angela Maas	Ogden Jr. Mus	tangs	Zoor	n	Jeremy Ady	DCYHA	Zoom		
Kristi Taylor	UHOO		Zoor	n	LC	Unknown	Zoom		
Mackenzie Weeks	Candidate	9	Zoor	n	Ken McKinney	Candidate	Υ		
Will Freigang	Candidate	9	Zoor	n	Mariko Rollins	Ogden	Υ		
Sara Doutre	Candidate	9	Υ						

Agenda Item:	2	Тор	ic: Reviev	v of March 20	25 meeting m	inutes	
Presented by:	Geoff						
Discussion(s):	Reviewed	Reviewed Minutes					
Approval:	N	lotion By:	J	acob	Second By:	Tin	ı
		For:	All	Against:	0	Abstain:	0

Agenda Item:	3	Topic:	Publicly formalize UAHA reserves transfer into USAH growth fund, as a part of USAH site review recommendations
Presented By:	Adam		
Initial Discussion:	received, s	o this needs to	the board by email and there was only 5 votes to be reviewed in public and a vote finalized. To a potential opportunity that came from the USA bey have a higher return fund that many affiliates are





using, which is sponsored by USA Hockey. This is a much higher return than the avings. The prospectus included in the agenda is not the same fund that Adam is recommending. Motion: Motion By: Adam Second By: Chuck Motion: Approve utilizing up to \$200K in the USA Hockey Fund Discussion(s): The motion was clarified for an amount up to \$200K in the account, given the goal of having a minimum of 1 year of operating capital within the USA hockey fund. Anna asked about the budget performance reporting. Adam clarified that there is live updating and the balances will be included on the monthly P&L reporting. Tim asked about the investment profile. This is 65% stock and 35% bonds, with daily liquidity. The foundation pays the management fee of 2.5% of the investment. Jacob asked how much it would cost to pull money out of the account. Adam clarified that this account will be accessible, but the purpose of keeping 1 year out of the account is to protect against market volatility, but the growth will be higher overall in the USA Hockey fund. This has no restrictions like a certificate of deposit account. There was no further discussion. Approval: For: All Against: 0 Abstain: 0	SALES CONTROL OF THE SALES CON			0				
Adam is recommending. Motion: Motion By: Adam Second By: Chuck Motion: Approve utilizing up to \$200K in the USA Hockey Fund Discussion(s): The motion was clarified for an amount up to \$200K in the account, given the goal of having a minimum of 1 year of operating capital within the USA hockey fund. Anna asked about the budget performance reporting. Adam clarified that there is live updating and the balances will be included on the monthly P&L reporting. Tim asked about the investment profile. This is 65% stock and 35% bonds, with daily liquidity. The foundation pays the management fee of 2.5% of the investment. Jacob asked how much it would cost to pull money out of the account. Adam clarified that this account will be accessible, but the purpose of keeping 1 year out of the account is to protect against market volatility, but the growth will be higher overall in the USA Hockey fund. This has no restrictions like a certificate of deposit account. There was no further discussion.		, ,						
Motion: Motion By: Adam Second By: Chuck Motion: Approve utilizing up to \$200K in the USA Hockey Fund Discussion(s): The motion was clarified for an amount up to \$200K in the account, given the goal of having a minimum of 1 year of operating capital within the USA hockey fund. Anna asked about the budget performance reporting. Adam clarified that there is live updating and the balances will be included on the monthly P&L reporting. Tim asked about the investment profile. This is 65% stock and 35% bonds, with daily liquidity. The foundation pays the management fee of 2.5% of the investment. Jacob asked how much it would cost to pull money out of the account. Adam clarified that this account will be accessible, but the purpose of keeping 1 year out of the account is to protect against market volatility, but the growth will be higher overall in the USA Hockey fund. This has no restrictions like a certificate of deposit account. There was no further discussion.			•	cluded in the	agenda is not	the same fu	nd that	
Motion: Approve utilizing up to \$200K in the USA Hockey Fund Discussion(s): The motion was clarified for an amount up to \$200K in the account, given the goal of having a minimum of 1 year of operating capital within the USA hockey fund. Anna asked about the budget performance reporting. Adam clarified that there is live updating and the balances will be included on the monthly P&L reporting. Tim asked about the investment profile. This is 65% stock and 35% bonds, with daily liquidity. The foundation pays the management fee of 2.5% of the investment. Jacob asked how much it would cost to pull money out of the account. Adam clarified that this account will be accessible, but the purpose of keeping 1 year out of the account is to protect against market volatility, but the growth will be higher overall in the USA Hockey fund. This has no restrictions like a certificate of deposit account. There was no further discussion.		Adam is recomme	ending.					
Discussion(s): The motion was clarified for an amount up to \$200K in the account, given the goal of having a minimum of 1 year of operating capital within the USA hockey fund. Anna asked about the budget performance reporting. Adam clarified that there is live updating and the balances will be included on the monthly P&L reporting. Tim asked about the investment profile. This is 65% stock and 35% bonds, with daily liquidity. The foundation pays the management fee of 2.5% of the investment. Jacob asked how much it would cost to pull money out of the account. Adam clarified that this account will be accessible, but the purpose of keeping 1 year out of the account is to protect against market volatility, but the growth will be higher overall in the USA Hockey fund. This has no restrictions like a certificate of deposit account. There was no further discussion.	Motion:	Motion By:	Ad	lam	Second By:	Chu	ck	
goal of having a minimum of 1 year of operating capital within the USA hockey fund. Anna asked about the budget performance reporting. Adam clarified that there is live updating and the balances will be included on the monthly P&L reporting. Tim asked about the investment profile. This is 65% stock and 35% bonds, with daily liquidity. The foundation pays the management fee of 2.5% of the investment. Jacob asked how much it would cost to pull money out of the account. Adam clarified that this account will be accessible, but the purpose of keeping 1 year out of the account is to protect against market volatility, but the growth will be higher overall in the USA Hockey fund. This has no restrictions like a certificate of deposit account. There was no further discussion.		Motion:	Approve uti	lizing up to \$2	200K in the USA	4 Hockey Fui	nd	
	Discussion(s):	goal of having a magnetic fund. Anna asked about there is live update reporting. Tim asked about the daily liquidity. The investment. Jacob asked how clarified that this out of the account higher overall in the fund.	the budget in the investment of a foundation much it wou account will tis to protect the USA Hock	performance balances will be accessible, tagainst mark	to \$200K in the ating capital with a ting capital ting capital with a ting capital wit	e account, githin the USA me clarified the monthly and 35% bood 2.5% of the the account ase of keepin out the grow	iven the A hockey hat y P&L nds, with e . Adam ng 1 year th will be	
Approval: For: All Against: 0 Abstain: 0		There was no furt	her discussio	on.				
	Approval:	For:	All	Against:	0	Abstain:	0	

Agenda Item:	4	Topic:	Elections				
Presented By:	Chuck						
Initial Discussion:	There was	discussion, b	ased on some conce	erns that came	up through the		
	nominatin	g process and	not sure what is to	be done. Chuc	ck wanted to		
	entertain a	a motion, bas	ed on the conversat	ion from the c	losed session that		
	ran 20 mir	nutes long.					
	There was	confusion on	the March 31 due o	date, for the so	licitation of bios		
	being rece	being received. Additionally, there was a change with someone's nominated					
	position fr	om what they	y were originally nor	minated for. Ti	m mentioned that		
	he's never	seen this ma	ny people intereste	d in nominatio	n for the board.		
Motion:	Motio	on By:	Tim	Second By:	Steve		
	M		end nomination and	•	•		
		Mon	day (14 April). This a	llows new can	didates to be		
		nomi	inated and for existii	ng candidates i	to update their bio		
		and /	or switch to anothe	er position. The	n next Wednesday,		
		16 A _l	oril, will have a zoon	n meeting to m	eet the candidates to		
	review the bios. Propose to have election one week later						
		than	originally posted (20	6 April), during	the same time slot."		
Discussion(s):	•	ned the floor					
	Ken McKir	ney asked if a	action was needed f	or any candida	te that was in a		



Board Meeting Minutes



"grey space". Tim clarified that no action was needed, for any approved candidate, unless they want to supplement their bio or switch to a new position (be nominated for a new position).

Geoff mentioned that there was a lot of closed door discussion tonight, about having the candidates come out tonight, but believe this to be important, to ensure a fair and impartial opportunity for getting elected without getting picked apart later on. Want to make sure the process is fair and transparent. While this is not meeting our requirement for 30 days for notice of position election, Geoff believed this to be the lesser of the evils, since the election is required in April.

Chuck clarified that the board is not unanimous on this approach and asked the audience for their input. Chuck asked if the deadlines being pushed back "pulls back the curtains on shenanigans behind the scenes." Randy quickly lamented, "yes." He also clarified that he doesn't understand why deadlines get changed and believed that the deadlines getting pushed back is an admittance of a mistake. When Chuck asked if extending everything by a week would make this more transparent, Randy said, "I don't know."

Sara commented about the timeline, because she was nominated and received an email on 31 March asking for her bio and it was received on 1 April. She doesn't believe that the call for nominations was clear on when bios were due.

Chuck clarified that the all of the bios for the existing slate of candidates have now been received, although there were some board members concerned about the lack of bios being received by the 31st. Chuck commented that the nomination was clear on the nomination being due by the 31st, but that nomination as not clear on the due date for the acceptance and bios, so it was not due, in his opinion.

Geoff spoke about the Rocky Mountain District Election for district direct, where the election was picked apart and the national office got involved. Geoff wanted to ensure that our process is fair and impartial, with every candidate given the same opportunity as everyone else, in order to protect our affiliate. This extension helps to ensure "buddies" are not being given an unfair advantage in the process.

Tim re-read the call for nominations, with the discussion about due dates. Jacob commented that this has never been a past practice and Kathleen responded that precedence should not be taken into consideration and we need to revert to bylaws. Jacob reiterated that the bylaws are silent to this, the call for nominations was not clear and the previous actions were all considerations in saying there is not a consistent and clear way for this to be done.

There was a belief that nominations could absolutely occur until 4:59 on the day of the deadline, where the requested documentation would not be



Board Meeting Minutes



submitted, because the candidates were nominated by others. Tim confirmed that there were a few instances of this happening.

Ken mentioned that this is a volunteer opportunity, to give your time away, and shouldn't be interpreted like the tax code.

There was more discussion about this, which reiterated the ambiguity in the wording. Chuck clarified that there are options to leave as is or to accept Tim's proposal.

Gabe Gautier commented that there is no guarantee that he will be able to make the newly proposed dates and that he planned out tonight for the meeting. Chuck commented that this was a good point and proposed an amendment to the motion, to permit the candidates who are in attendance to give their speech tonight. This was seconded by Jacob.

Mariko mentioned that there was concern about the timing, since the bios need to be shared with their org, in order to vote and that two days doesn't afford that time. Chuck confirmed that this is particularly challenging with the high school board, since the info has to be passed around to all ~35 trustees. This offers 2 days to read the bios and the 10 days to vote.

Chuck asked for further discussion on the amendment and called to question. For: All / Against: none / Abstain: none

There was a request to read back the motion, with the amendment. "Extend the nomination period to 14 April at 5:00 PM for all bios and nominations. Conduct the zoom call on Wednesday, 16 April at 6 PM to meet the candidates. And election occurs on Sunday, 26 April.

Randy asked if there are nominees for all positions. It was confirmed that there were candidates for all positions, with only the treasurer and VP Discipline having a single candidate for each. Randy suggested that we accept all the positions, unless there are shenanigans that came up.

Chuck mentioned that there are three of the board members (Geoff, Chuck and Don) who have access to the nominations listing and Don has nominated a number of people, toward the end of the nomination period. He doesn't believe that we should have the ability to nominate.

Jacob mentioned that this should not be viewed as nefarious, since the members mentioned have a disproportionate responsibility to find candidates that will participate. If we don't, the candidates will leave mid term and then we are forced to have a much less democratic election through the board.

Ken was appreciative of the effort to spend this much energy to make the process more transparent.



Board Meeting Minutes



The question arose if some nominees were given an unfair advantage by being given the advantage to change positions.

Steve mentioned that he didn't know a candidate could change positions. Randy asked why a candidate couldn't be nominated and accept for two positions. If was clarified that we do not have a rule about it.

Geoff also clarified that current rule prohibits the state board from having two current board members of an organization under the UAHA affiliate. There is also a rule that prohibits the President and the VP of Discipline from sitting on the board for any organization while in these positions.

Jacob asked if the candidates believe this to be an overcorrection by the board. It was clarified that all nominations were submitted by 31 Mar deadline, but there were candidates who did not submit their bio / or accept the nomination by the $31^{\rm st}$...all were done by 1 April.

Don mentioned that I had nominated Mariko late in the process, but before the deadline.

There was discussion about the correction not being appropriate to the fix the perception that the board is concerned about correcting. This seemed to be the overwhelming feeling from the candidates and that extending the deadline was more of an annoyance than anything.

The board will need to address the election process that was perceived to be a concern. This will need to be addressed in the bylaws going forward.

Jacob asked if there are any other nominees that would like to be nominated for another position than their originally nominated position. There was no response to this, over zoom or in person. Geoff reiterated that anyone can challenge the election outcome after the fact, based on these minutes.

Chuck called to question, on the amended motion. (Motion fails, based on voting as noted below).

			,		1		
Α	pproval:	For:	2 (Tim /	Against:	8 (All	Abstain:	0
			Steve)		others)		
Initial Dis	scussion:	Jacob wanted to r	make a motio	on, to move fo	rward with the	e election pr	ocess.
	Motion:	Motion By:	Ja	cob	Second By:	Chu	ck
		Motion:	documents today, whic Chuck amer the diligence	that were sub h were receive nded to valida e of Geoff, Chi	verything that mitting with the down th	ne board age es, as confirr Robyn, for th	enda for med on e





ASSOCIATION	Dogi a IVIC	etilig ivilliutes		7700	JVEY.	
	This ar	nendment was acc	epted by Jacob	, and put fo	rward.	
Discussion(s):	Don also proposed that the motion be amended that we task a board member to resolve the issues in the by-laws, for the purpose of preventing this in the future. Kathleen mentioned that she believed this to be an admission of wrong doing in the election and was concerned that this was not a good look, so this was withdrawn by Don.					
	It was believed that this volume No further discussion, so carried.		question and th	ne amended	motion	
Approval:	For: All oth	ers Against:	2 (Tim / Steve)	Abstain:	0	
Initial Discussion:	experience in gro Activities Associa other orgs and th organizations.	luctions was alphaing last: Inek – Ceded time, Left, so time was king for opportunition and would like e progression, alored about the procedus is 10 years out, ed about Sara's collarified that she is swith the new youd, ed if she isn't electors yes. In the call, but will ing off the ice. Can both hockey in Utal got back into coacle ants to give back. In ockey for free to the and retention. He	2 min intro and betical by last because runn ceded by to help share works with Utake to help further with mentor with mentors with the current soluth league, so steed, would shee back to William, along with a ming. Saw what wants to streams with the is coaching earns with the is coaching earns with the che is at right not be is at right not be the coaching earns with the che is at right not be the coaching earns with the che is at right not be the coaching earns with the che is at right not be the coaching earns with the che is at right not be the coaching earns with the che is at right not be the coaching earns with the che is at right not be the coaching earns with the che is at right not be the coaching earns with the che is at right not be the coaching earns with the che is at right not be the coaching earns with the che is at right not be the coaching earns with the che is at right not be the coaching earns with the che is at right not be the coaching earns with the che is at right not be the coaching earns with the che is at right not be the check of the check o	ing unoppose e profession high School of the growth riship of new approved are lationships in the other pheduler for I ishe believes to be willing. Since the workey did amline learn and to increase a team are now. He are Jr. Mustang ow and he	any sed all sol h of h	



Board Meeting Minutes



at Ogden and just got off the ice.

- Robert Pomahac
 - Grew up in southern Ontario and played AA and AAA levels.
 He is a physician and working on study for spine / concussion health with hockey players. Looking at what makes a good player, with potential for growth of local kids to compete on national level
 - Steve asked about USA Hockey background. Robert said he is coaching for 5 years, but prior to that was 16-18 year olds. Prior to that, he was coaching juniors in Canada.
 - Geoff asked if he would be interested in other roles.
 Robertt said that he is interested in player safety roles.
 - Kathleen asked if he is still coaching and there were no conflicts known.
 - Jacob asked about comment in the bio for growth ideas and Robert talked about engaging parents
- Jordan Stone
 - O Grew up in Colorado during the hey day of the avalanche. Played Utah Outliers and 3 years with the Utah Grizz. Currently coaching 10 – 14U hockey at Ogden and helping with the UHC learn to play events. Helping to bridge the gap from rinks to the parents and players, to help grow the game. Spoke about the girls side of the game, with the advance of the PWHL.
- Steve Picano (incumbent)
 - 1.5 year in the position. Working with each rink, each program and USA Hockey for the THFF events. Try goalie events (10 in country, with 2 in Utah). Try hockey for girls events in SL were conducted, along with try sled. Held session for parents.
 Working with many camps for region and national camps.
 - Jacob asked about transition from learn to play. Steve believes this depends on the commitment and parents and then education for the parents. Wanting to know what the intermediate should be to jump from 150 for learn to play for 8 weeks, then up to \$2500 for the 7 month season. Believes this is where travel B should offer.
 - Jeremy Ady (DCYHA) asked what can be done to help orgs with the limited amount of ice. Steve commented that he believes rinks need to be creative, with increased but more efficient model for ice use.

VP Tier:

- Gabe Gauthier
 - Covered bio with experience in Nevada, with tier 2 starting and then moving into the NAPL, then competing in the Anaheim Ducks league. From there, it was starting a AAA team, with 95% of the kids being from Nevada. Believed it to be important to build the team and apply a status. There are



Board Meeting Minutes



currently 2 HS teams and then the Jr. Golden Knights. From Southern California, but had to leave to play prep school. would like a developmental model from 8U to 18U, with path for developing talent as they grow.

- Tim asked about currentl location and Gabe commented that he is in southern Utah.
- Randy asked about G28 athletics. Gabe clarified this is his last name an playing number. He is currently working in Southern Utah for an adult skills clinic, which will become a majority of their coaching staff for the coming youth season.
- Jacob asked if there are currently too many tier teams.
 Gabe commented that this should be based on the registered number of players and honest evaluations.

- Tim Odell

- Serving the last 4 years and lived here for the last 15. Was from Minnesota prior to that. Played high school and into adult league, before moving to Utah. Tim is proud of the working relationships among the tier orgs, for the betterment of the state, and the collaboration through monthly tier meetings. Level 4 coach and looking for the opportunity to serve again.
 - Jacob asked about the metrics. Tim answered that be believes these to include culture, numbers, the orgs feeder system and the winning record, with the caveat that we don't not restrict a player's ability to move around to follow a coach, so this is a tough one to penalize. Without community-based hockey, this needs to be a 5 year review.
 - Robert Pohamac asked how to get kids qualified and Tim commented that his kids are doing things to enhance their skills off ice. He doesn't believe that other states are better athletes.
 - It was brought up that the Ogden Jr Mustangs 14U Tier
 2 team won the national championship.

VP Girls

- Ken McKinney
 - Mapped out girls registered in the state, based on the 393 registered girls. As an engineer, he recognized that there was diversity in geography. Ken has learned to play, skate and coach. He commented that he has coached for 4 years and doesn't have the experience that others do, but he isn't afraid of running toward the more difficult problem.
 - Steve asked about approach and Ken mentioned that the data is showing that girls hockey is growing in older ages, compared to a study that shows contraction in youth sports as kids get older. As a result, Ken commented that his focus would be on



Board Meeting Minutes



getting engagement from the younger ages to help make the older ages more competitive.

- Steve asked about how we push the best girls on to the tier 2 and tier 1. Ken responded that pushing the really competitive girls together. This includes more THFF events to build the base of the pyramid and earlier on.
- Cody asked about organizations. Ken has bene coaching the Mustangs and serves as the trustee for North Girls High School.

- Makenzie Weeks

- Doesn't have the hockey experience, but is a first generation mom and serves as the manager for a few teams (14B Aggies, 12B Aggies, Lady Jr Mustangs and North Girls)
 - Steve asked about plan to grow girls hockey. Makenzie mentioned that this needs to come from connecting with orgs and adding bodies, but also connecting with their minds.

Melissa Mossberg

- Current VP Girls. Level 4 coach and works with multiple teams.
 Played AAA level. Run a salon with 17 employees. Have 2 girls playing. Working to shine a light on players and collaborate with orgs. Understands the game from multiple angles.
 - Gave Melissa 20K for growth and she gets to decide on spending for clinics
 - Jacob asked about a girls division for the UAHL.
 Melissa believed this should be a consideration for the youth league.
 - Geoff asked about Ideas on how to help attrition.
 Melissa commented that it is communication and ensuring that everyone has a place.

Executive VP

- Randy Abbey
 - Currently president of Jr Grizz and been on the board for last 4 years. Has been reelected for 2 more years. Kids have both played for Jr. Grizz and helped to get the Team Utah program launch again. Has level 4 coaching cert and believes that admin is now the focus, but doesn't really coach much any more. Has 6U to tier teams, but struggles to find the ice for fielding all of the teams. Believes the growth is the challenge, but believes in possibility. Has 12 and 14 year old kid playing.

The feed cut out at that point, so it was not clear what else was said during this time. Tim rejoined after Chucks laptop died.

- Jacob asked a question about the P and P clarifications needed. Randy clarified that we need to follow the P and P, but the interpretation should be clarified by the board.
- Mariko Rollins





	o pa	ut of state. Tarticipate, basears of exper Steve VP of that so very a willing question and Company some. Jacob this best player their period on a board some.	his is the first ased on the curience. asked why, at tier and move the has been diggressive programmers as to collaboration was not are huck when dishe switched posaid that she elieved that she elieved that she etter. Mariko died to have lesses first, by lettiplayers want.	current memb	iko has wanted and. She has e, she droppedole. Mariko wast and operates would not be a manual to be a manual to be a much better and she had to past revisions a cus on putting and run the present a she to accept the present and the presen	ed to 23 ed the vorries ated a be is ariko know ur. cions fit for bick make are g cogram
Motion:	Motion By:	N	/A	Second By:	N/A	
	Motion:	N/A				
Discussion(s):	N/A					
Approval:	For:	N/A	Against:	N/A	Abstain:	N/A

Agenda Item:	5	5 Topic: President's Agenda						
Presented By:	Geoff							
Initial Discussion:	No additio	No additional topics for this month's meeting						
Motion:	Motio	on By:		N/	A	Second By:	N/A	4
	M	otion:	N/A					
Discussion(s):	N/A							
Approval:		For:	N/A	4	Against:	N/A	Abstain:	N/A

UAHA Committee Section Reports							
Section	Owner	Discussions					
VD High School	Anna Friekson	Girls all star game completed. Awards ceremony completed, with perpetual awards and all stars.					
VP High School	Anna Erickson	Both teams to nationals finished 1-3 each.					





ASSOCIATION		iru ivieeting iviirutes
		Summer league is different, with draft style tournament, with schedule to be announced every 2 weeks. In the past, the
		trustees are managing this. With this style, the teams are
		individually drafted and it's a tough style. This was voted 15
		against and 16 for, so it was split from the get go.
		Girls North was honored at Grizzlies game recently.
		\$20K awarded by the NHL and Melissa didn't know. Also raised a
VP Girls	Melissa Mossberg	ton of money for the girls to go to national.
		All star game for HS Girls
VP Adult / Sled	Cody Madsen	Nothing to report
		UAHL tournament is over. New season will make the league a
		true league. The goal is to have this approved through the board
VP Youth	Jacob Hickman	meeting and then introduced in the next UAHA meeting. Steve
VI TOUCH	Jacob McKman	asked if the cost will change and it was confirmed that this will.
		The next meeting will reschedule from this Thursday, since
		Kristen is unavailable this week.
		Congrats to 14U Tier II Jr. Mustangs. Grizz 16U 0-3, but were
		competitive. 18U Tier II Mustangs won 2-1. 14 played 2a and all
VP Tier	Tim Odell	others played 1A. The 14U Lady Grizz went 1-2.
		Kathleen confirmed that Ogden was the only team in RMD that
		brought home a banner.
		Girls camp had to adjust a few things with team Utah, with 9 girls
		registered. 1 May is the tryout, with registration pushed back.
VP Growth	Steve Picano	
		1 girl who made the district camp and Steve's buddy is paying for
		everything to make one of the girls able to attend.
VP Discipline	Bryce Mannek	Good
Registrar	Robyn Eyre-Long	Nothing
CIC/ADM	Michael Strahan	Nothing
		Camp went well, thanks to Steve, Alley, and Jordan. Looking
Goaltending	Reid Jacobsen	forward to some development over the summer, with Jordan
Development	Neid Jacobseii	Parise and a goalie camp from the Sharks goalie, along with
		Garett Metcalfe.
SafeSport	Wendy Radke	Not Present
Safety Director	Mandi Berim	Good
Webmaster	Vacant	N/A
Treasurer	Adam Bailey	N/A
Secretary	Don McFarland	Nothing else
Executive VP	Chuck Dorval	Thanks to everyone for the difficult election discussion
President	Geoff Fisher	Nothing additional.

End Of Meeting: Closing				
Next Meeting Date / Location:		5 May 2025 at 7PM / Maverik Center		
Adjourn Meeting:	Motion By:	Steve	Second By:	Geoff
	Discussion:	None	Time:	~9:30P