Category		Question	Response
	19:25:12 From	Gender equity	You are correct, the world of sports and
	Sarah E. Kirwan	is essential to changing	many other industries are dealing with this
	to Everyone:	the male-dominated	reality. The NWBA has been making a
		culture of the NWBA.	conscious effort to balance its leadership
		And changing the	to include more strong female voices at the
		culture of the NWBA	Board and Committee levels. For example,
		means addressing	the Classification/Eligibility, Athlete
		power imbalances	Advisory Council, Women's Growth and
		within the	Diversity, Coaching Education/ADM, Junior
		organization. This is	Division all currently have a female in the
		challenging and	Chair/President position. This is in addition
		uncomfortable work	to the Board of Directors having what was
		for many leaders. What	six females out of 13 positions prior to a
		plan does the NWBA	recent change. That being said, this must
		have to create an	be an ongoing focus.
		inclusive culture where	
		every woman feels	
		seen, heard,	
		supported, and	
		protected?	
2018	19:27:50 From	In reference to	The 2018 issue was resolved with a closure
Response	Patrick Moody	the timeline action	letter sent to each of the athletes
	to Everyone:	steps implemented as a	articulating the findings as well as planned
		result of the 2018	next steps. A disconnect may have been
		letterHow would the	not ensuring the athletes understood the
		NWBA grade itself on	SafeSport path if they were dissatisfied with the NWBA's conclusion.
		execution of the plan? Would the NWBA have	With the NWBA'S conclusion.
		done anything	For further response, please refer to 2018
		differently in	timeline slide which is at the 46:32 time
		hindsight? What	mark of the video recording.
		communication was	mark of the video recording.
		provided to the team	To your question on 'hindsight', Will
		upon implementation	provided a response to this at the 1:30:30
		of these steps in order	time mark within the video recording.
		to acknowledge their	
		concerns and explain	
		how these steps	
		address their issues?	
	19:38:55 From	dug, when you	The NWBA takes confidentiality seriously,
	Patti to	were discussing the	but doesn't have the ability to control the
	Everyone:	process of how the	social media accounts/activity of individual
	,	NWBA processes	members. As we all know, much of what
		investigate a claim, you	we see on social media is inaccurate. It
		mentioned that you are	becomes the responsibility of the people

protecting the confidentiality of the claimant. If this is true, how is it that Trooper was able to post his version of claims that did not involve him and had nothing to do with him? This certainly has every appearance of breach of confidentiality and the misuse of sensitive information. 19:41:21 From Dug Jones to Everyone: 19:41:45 From craigshewmake to Everyone: Protecting the confidentiality is true, The info of the info of the people of t	o use social media to filter what they d for credibility/accuracy. e NWBA didn't release confidential ormation to any individuals on any sides the current issue. Whatever information to ple disseminated individually was ormation they had access to ependent of their roles/relationships h NWBA leadership.
19:41:21 From Dug Jones to Everyone: 19:41:45 From craigshewmake to Everyone:	
Dug Jones to Everyone: asking if the NWBA has the ability to control Trooper's (or anyone else's) Facebook posts? 19:41:45 From craigshewmake to Everyone: vague and subjective be for	
19:41:45 From "Verbal and The craigshewmake emotional abuse" is a incluto to Everyone: vague and subjective be for	
craigshewmake emotional abuse" is a inclute to Everyone: vague and subjective be for	e definitions of prohibited conduct,
on ones perspective. Are we going to hear the specific allegations? If not then how do we know what was "dismissed" and if it was a proper decision? Are we talking old school coaching tehniques vs new world sensitivities or something much more sinister? If we don't know the specifics then where is the transparency? A mans legacy as well his and the NWBA's reputation are on full display here. visit http	definitions of prohibited conduct, luding verbal and emotional abuse, can found in the SafeSport Code for the mpic and Paralympic Movement by ting: ps://uscenterforsafesport.org/response-d-resolution/safesport-code/ dether jurisdiction is assumed by the other or the NWBA, the definitions in the other guide the investigation of the report. deticific allegations remain on a need-to-ow basis and held within the offidentiality of the report as part of the other's response and resolution process. It detailed description of 'Confidentiality delease/Use of Materials' begins on page of the Code linked here: Read the desport Code U.S. Center for SafeSport centerforsafesport.org) There is sanction applied from the other, it is public and posted here: ps://uscenterforsafesport.org/response-d-resolution/centralized-disciplinary-

2018	19:41:59 From	What justified	For further response, please refer to 2018
Response	Michelle Jenkins to Everyone:	selecting Coach Johnson after 100% of the team voiced they wanted different	timeline slide which is covered at the 46:32-time mark within the Open Forum video recording. The situation was investigated and closed.
		leadership? How can that be justified?	
	19:42:36 From Patti to Everyone:	Dug, no I am asking how he has information that should be protected when it does not involve him in the complaint.	As mentioned earlier: The NWBA takes confidentiality seriously, but doesn't have the ability to control the social media accounts/activity of individual members. As we all know, much of what we see on social media is inaccurate. It becomes the responsibility of the people who use social media to filter what they read for credibility/accuracy. The NWBA didn't release confidential
			information to any individuals on any sides of the current issue. Whatever information people disseminated individually was information they had access to independent of their roles/relationships with NWBA leadership.
	19:43:24 From Kaitlyn Eaton to Everyone:	So follow up: if we reported through one of those avenues, and we are felt unheard, we are then expected to report the abuse again? Most women (or anyone for that matter) already struggle with reporting once, but we are expected to continue reporting until we are heard?	The best way to file an alleged violation is to do so formally: internally, reports can be submitted here for Policy Violations: Applications & Forms (nwba.org) (select the Policy Violation Report tab) and here for SafeSport Violations: Safe Sport (nwba.org) (select the 'Reporting' tab) Externally, you can submit a report to the U.S. Center for SafeSport: Report a SafeSport Concern U.S. Center for SafeSport (uscenterforsafesport.org) or the USOPC: https://www.teamusa.org/reporting
			Reports should be submitted whichever way is most comfortable to the reporter. The USOPC and the Center are also available if the NGB response is unsatisfactory to the claimant. For further response, please refer to reporting which is covered by Gail in the Open Forum —

		reference 1:14:00 time mark in the video
		recording.
19:44:36 From Shawn DeVault to Everyone:	With respect, I feel as though my question wasn't really answered. I understand that there is an application process, a review of applications, a top handful of candidates are interviewed then final choice is made. My question is what safeguards does the selection committee have in place to prevent their own individual biases from effecting that decision? If we're being honest, we all have biases or personal feelings (often unconsciously). I want to know how the NWBA has addressed those personal biases in it's selection committee. Education or training provided about the issue of biases, checks/balances to address when a pattern seems to appear that could very well have come from	Diversity of opinion is achieved by having a Committee (with athlete representation) in place and a debrief / vote on candidates. The selection committee was made up of qualified interviewers. The recommendation on training for interviewers is something we will consider moving forward.
19:47:32 From Bob pratt to Everyone:	Issues of bias. So you had this letter from ALL the players(many of them would be playing for the new coach) saying he was a bad coach and you still hired him? if I was hiring a manager for a job and one of the candidates whole team wrote me a letter	For further response, please refer to 2018 timeline slide which is covered at the 46:32-time mark within the Open Forum video recording. The situation was investigated and closed.

	saying he was a bad	
	boss I probably	
	wouldn't hire the guy.	
20:02:50 From	How has the	The action plan for 2018 was implemented
Sue Rodriguez	high performance chair	by Dan Byrnes who was the sitting Chair of
to Everyone:	addressed these	the High Performance Committee at the
	concerns since 2018?	time.
20:03:42 From	Is there any	The NWBA has an American Development
Kathleen	opportunity for male	Model (ADM)/Coach Education Committee
O'Kelly-	coaches who are	that is designing multiple modules of coach
Kennedy to	appointed to coach	education material to support all coaches
Everyone:	female teams to go	and all athletes in creating a learning
	through gender	environment.
	communication	
	workshops? As a way	
	of safeguarding the	
	female athletes.	
20:05:13 From	Why did the	In the announcement on Dec. 9 th , the
Kathleen	NWBA's statement on	NWBA outlined that it supports both the
O'Kelly-	their website only	claimant and the respondent in any
Kennedy to	highlight the voice of	SafeSport investigation.
Everyone:	the accused abuser?	
	Was it necessary to	The subsequent announcement was not
	include his quotes? At	intended for that reason. We typically
	risk of gaslighting the	include quotes from national team coaches
	women who made the	when they are appointed and when they
	complaints?	resign.